CONTENTS

| Part 1: The Evolutionary Context 1. Evolution, fitness and adaptations 1.1 Darwin and natural selection 1.2 Units of selection: the background | 9 10 12 14 17 19 22 27 30 |
|---|---|
| 1.1 Darwin and natural selection | 10 12 14 17 19 22 27 |
| | 12 14 17 19 22 27 |
| 1.2 Units of solostion, the background | 14 17 19 22 27 |
| • | 17 19 22 27 |
| 1.3 The 'Ultra-Darwinist' perspective | 19 22 27 |
| 1.4 Fitness and 'success' | 22 27 |
| 1.5 The 'Naturalists' 1.6 Who is right, and does it matter? | 27 |
| 1.6 Who is right, and does it matter?1.7 Defining a 'real' adaptation | |
| 1.8 Adaptation, aptation, exaptation or non-adaptive accident? | 30 |
| 1.9 An adaptation? Some tests | 35 |
| Conclusion | 43 |
| Notes | 45 |
| 2. The origins of the designing brain | 50 |
| 2.1 The workings of the brain | 51 |
| 2.2 Reflexes, sensations, perceptions and cognition | 51 |
| 2.3 The modular brain | 52 |
| 2.4 Domain-neutral modules and ontology | 55 |
| 2.5 Modularity: a qualification | 56 |
| 2.6 The Upper Palaeolithic 'Revolution': magnitude, timing, location and speed2.7 Human brain size | 59 |
| 2.7 Human brain size2.8 The neocortex and social relations | 62 63 |
| 2.9 Intentionality and artefacts | 64 |
| 2.10 Human brains are social brains | 66 |
| 2.11 Kinetic sense and technical pleasure | 66 |
| 2.12 'Thing using' and cognition | 68 |
| 2.13 Tool-making and cognitive advances | 71 |
| 2.14 Handaxes as tools | 72 |
| 2.15 Handaxes: aesthetic appraisal and social significance? | 73 |
| 2.16 The Handicap Principle | 74 |
| 2.17 The handaxe as genetic fitness indicator2.18 The timing of the emergence of modern human brains | 76 77 |
| 2.19 The origin of the modern mind? | 77 79 |
| Conclusion | 81 |
| Notes | 84 |

Part 2: The Attribution of Significance and Meaning to Artefacts

| 3. | The | e evolutionary origins of aesthetics | 88 |
|----|------|---|------------|
| | 3.1 | Aesthetic appreciation as an adaptation | 89 |
| | 3.2 | Pleasure is adaptive | 90 |
| | 3.3 | The method used here: a contrary view | 91 |
| | 3.4 | Perceptual biases: contexts and hierarchy | 95 |
| | 3.5 | Symmetry | 97 |
| | 3.6 | Proportion | 99 |
| | 3.7 | The Golden Section | 101 |
| | 3.8 | 'Natural economy' and human designing: some caveats | 102 |
| | 3.9 | Patterns which excite | 104 |
| | 3.10 | Patterns (and regularity, uniformity and smoothness) which soothe | 106 |
| | 3.11 | Shininess, glossiness, and glitter | 107 |
| | 3.12 | The case for evolved colour perceptions | 109 |
| | 3.13 | The naming of colours | 112 |
| | 3.14 | The case for black, white and red in artefacts | 114 |
| | 3.15 | Green, yellow, and other combinations | 115 |
| | 3.16 | Colour can arise by default | 115 |
| | 3.17 | Colour: an alternative, adaptive mechanism | 116 |
| | 3.18 | The uses of colour today: an uneven adaptive legacy | 119 |
| | 3.19 | Economics: prehistory and history | 119 |
| | 3.20 | Costs and benefits | 121 |
| | 3.21 | The watering pot | 122 |
| | 3.22 | The wood screw | 126 |
| | | Conclusion | 127 |
| | | Notes | 129 |
| 4. | Art | efacts, symbolism and narrative | 134 |
| | 4.1 | Symbolic thought, artefacts and language: origins and links | 135 |
| | 4.2 | Mime and gesture | 136 |
| | 4.3 | Language: a utilitarian or a social adaptation? | 138 |
| | 4.4 | Archaeology confirms a complex picture | 139 |
| | 4.5 | Consciousness, language and artefacts | 141 |
| | 4.6 | Artefacts as thinking | 143 |
| | 4.7 | The consequences for artefacts of this alternative view of language | 143 |
| | 4.8 | Social contracts, myth and ritual | 144 |
| | 4.9 | Our brains are evolved to create stories | 146 |
| | 4.10 | Myth and utility | 146 |
| | 4.11 | Evolutionary literary criticism | 148 |
| | 4.12 | Language as artefact: the written word | 149 |
| | 4.13 | Inclusive fitness | 150 |
| | 4.14 | What can artefacts do at the symbolic level? | 153 |
| | 4.15 | Symbolic meaning is flexible | 154 |
| | 4.16 | Sensory-kinetic-affective data informs attributions of symbolic meaning | 154 |
| | 4.17 | The importance of style: Part 1 | 155 |
| | 4.18 | A model for detecting adaptive value in symbolic meaning | 156 |
| | 4.19 | The scarab | 157 |
| | 4.20 | The denarius | 161 |
| | 4 24 | The Ardabil Carpet | 165 |
| | 4.21 | The Ardabit Carpet | |
| | 4.21 | Conclusion Notes | 170 172 |

Part 3: The Theoretical Model

| 5. | Ho | w humans engage with artefacts: a provisional model | 179 |
|----|------|--|-----|
| | 5.1 | Shortcomings of Miller's position | 179 |
| | 5.2 | Shortcomings of Voland's position | 185 |
| | 5.3 | A short thought experiment | 187 |
| | 5.4 | An evolutionary chronology | 188 |
| | 5.5 | Chronology informs functions | 192 |
| | 5.6 | The disadvantages of social mediation by behaviour and by language | 193 |
| | 5.7 | The origins of style | 194 |
| | 5.8 | The importance of style: Part 2 | 196 |
| | 5.9 | The consequence for the makers of artefacts | 198 |
| | 5.10 | The consequences for those appraising | 199 |
| | 5.11 | The content of tacit social intelligence | 200 |
| | 5.12 | The roles of artefacts remote from their makers | 201 |
| | 5.13 | The roles of artefacts remote from their original social contexts | 202 |
| | 5.14 | Deception and detection | 203 |
| | 5.15 | Artefacts created by means other than individual craft manufacture | 204 |
| | 5.16 | Artefacts are embodiments of ways of life | 206 |
| | 5.17 | The model | 207 |
| | 5.18 | The non-linear operation of this linear model | 208 |
| | 5.19 | The model: some difficulties | 209 |
| | 5.20 | A case study: my Apple iBook laptop computer | 210 |
| | | Conclusion | 226 |
| | | Notes | 232 |
| 6. | Co | nsequences | 235 |
| | 6.1 | Integrating natural and sexual selection | 235 |
| | 6.2 | 'Costly signal systems' and 'tacit social intelligence value' can coincide | 238 |
| | 6.3 | Surface as a function of cost | 239 |
| | 6.4 | Surface as a function of tacit social intelligence | 241 |
| | 6.5 | A contemporary example: shiny motor cars | 242 |
| | 6.6 | A partial definition of beauty in artefacts | 243 |
| | 6.7 | The natural as artificial: or a partial definition of beauty in nature | 244 |
| | 6.8 | Why some things make the hair stand up on the back of your neck | 246 |
| | 6.9 | This model (and others) as tests of existing theory | 248 |
| | 6.10 | A theoretical case study: Barthes' semiology | 249 |
| | 6.11 | Evolutionary theory and post-modernism | 254 |
| | 6.12 | The consequences for designers and their education | 255 |
| | 6.13 | Re-instating the physical and the sensory in design practice | 257 |
| | 6.14 | Confirming the value of intuition | 258 |
| | 6.15 | Evolution, culture and change | 260 |
| | 6.16 | Is the model a suite of genuine adaptations? | 265 |
| | 6.17 | A summary of findings | 266 |
| | 6.18 | Some concluding remarks | 273 |
| | | Notes | 275 |
| | | Illustrations | 278 |
| | | Bibliography | 315 |
| | | <u> </u> | |
| | | Webography | 323 |

List of illustrations

| fig. 1 | An Egyptian scarab: 1570 BC - 1298 BC (18 th Dynasty) | 278 |
|---------|--|-----|
| fig. 2 | This denarius is a medium-value silver coin | 279 |
| fig. 3 | The so-called Ardabil Carpet | 280 |
| fig. 4 | Detail of the Ardabil Carpet | 281 |
| fig. 5 | An English seventeenth-century watering-pot | 282 |
| fig. 6 | A late twentieth-century wood screw | 283 |
| fig. 7 | Even when we know the body of the BMW Z4 | 284 |
| fig. 8 | The Müller Lyer Illusion | 285 |
| fig. 9 | The earliest archaeological evidence of tools | 286 |
| fig. 10 | We are all descended from 'social' engineers | 287 |
| fig. 11 | The puzzle of Acheulian handaxes | 288 |
| fig. 12 | The Furze Platt Giant | 289 |
| fig. 13 | Things created reveal, predict and define behaviour | 290 |
| fig. 14 | Pattern as a by-product of process | 291 |
| fig. 15 | Most of the things we create are smooth and symmetrical | 292 |
| fig. 16 | Darwin wrote in The Origin of Species | 293 |
| fig. 17 | As these everyday examples show | 294 |
| fig. 18 | Red is still routinely used | 295 |
| fig. 19 | Green is one of the most ubiquitous colours on the planet | 296 |
| fig. 20 | A commonplace example of evolved aesthetics | 297 |
| fig. 21 | 'Yellow is the colour of joy!' | 298 |
| fig. 22 | Dennett has argued | 299 |
| fig. 23 | Artefacts physically embody accumulations of behaviour | 300 |
| fig. 24 | The nail in this 17 th century German reliquary | 301 |

112

| fig. 25 My Ap | ople iBook laptop computer | 302 |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----|
| fig. 26 The v | risible 'dots' | 303 |
| fig. 27 The s | symmetries and carefully-organised proportional relations | 304 |
| fig. 28 In ter | rms of pattern | 305 |
| fig. 29 Regul | lar pattern | 306 |
| fig. 30 The A | Apple logo | 307 |
| fig. 31 Curvy | / Nature | 308 |
| fig. 32 By 19 | 98 the cases of computers | 309 |
| fig. 33 Amor | ng the references to technology in the iBook | 310 |
| fig. 34 The a | accumulated dirt on the mouse pad | 311 |
| fig. 35 The o | cracked handle | 312 |
| fig. 36 Natur | ral 'beauty' often equates with 'well-made' | 313 |
| fig. 37 Rolar | nd Barthes' analysis of the Citroën DS 19 | 314 |
| | | |
| Table | | |
| colour recognized at languages. C | utative sequence for the emergence of adaptive gnition among humans and their ancestors, by considering the naming of colours in 98 Originally included in Berlin and Kay's study, Terms in 1964; reproduced in Barrow's The se in 1995. | 112 |

Acknowledgements

In preparing this study, I am deeply indebted to Professor Philip Hussey and Professor Trevor Hussey, my supervisors, both of whom have, in different ways, extended towards me exactly the kind of friendly encouragement combined with rigorous, diligent, knowledgeable critical comment which could serve as a model to any student in my position. I might add, they have each added immeasurably to the pleasure of the undertaking. Two decades of intelligent conversation at the dinner table of John Steer (often selflessly and generously provisioned by his partner, Peter Chapman) has undoubtedly led my mind into lines of inquiry it might otherwise have neglected. Professor Gillian Naylor, the Design Historian, was instrumental in encouraging me not to be deterred in pursuing these ideas when first I aired them in 1996. Professor Roger Newport directed me towards the paper by F. T. Evans, which proved a catalyst for much that has found its way into what follows. For helpful criticism on numerous occasions, I am grateful to my colleagues, Dr. Diana Medlicott, Dr. Reg Winfield, Dr. Paul Springer and Damon Taylor. For helping me cope with the idiocies of computers, I must thank my friend, John Lacey. For seeing me through some of the attendant administrative jungle by means of patient help and advice, I am much obliged to Karine Bloor, Dr. Anne Evans and Howard Bush from the Academic Registry of Buckinghamshire Chilterns University College (BCUC). I am also grateful to BCUC for supporting my attendance at the conference 'Mind the Map' in Istanbul in 2002, where I presented an interim paper; and for enabling me to take a sabbatical semester during which much of this work was written. Finally, I cannot repay the debt I owe to my generous, long-suffering partner, Jerome Farrell, who has not only meticulously copyedited the text for me (through several drafts), but listened to my endless reflections of this study, supported me when it has been going well (and when it has not) and without whose patience, love and encouragement, I doubt it would ever have been finished.

Author's declaration

The material included here which relates to a seventeenth-century English earthenware watering pot was originally submitted as an essay in 1988 in connection with my studies on a course run jointly by the Royal College of Art and the Victoria & Albert Museum, leading to the award of MA (RCA) Design History.